

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/992,614	Applicant(s) ROTSTEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Jay P. Patel	Art Unit 2666	

All Participants:

(1) Jay P. Patel.

(2) Anthony Sitko.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 February 2005

Time: 11:20 a.m. est

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 8 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor Informalities regarding the above-mentioned claims were discussed and authorization was given to amend the claims through an examiner's amendment; details of the amendment are stated in the examiner's amendment section of the office action

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)